

Review report for the assessment of papers submitted to the 6th International conference “Technics and Informatics in Education 2016”

Conference type: Scientific/expert conference with international participation

The paper reviewed:

| | write + | NO | Partially | YES |
|----|--|----|-----------|-----|
| 1 | General theme of the paper corresponds to the conference themes and the paper is relevant to the conference. | | | |
| 2 | The topic is original. | | | |
| 3 | The title of the paper reflects the paper's content. | | | |
| 4 | Focus on the main theme is present in all parts of the paper. | | | |
| 5 | The paper is well organized and contains all the structural parts relevant to the type it belongs to. | | | |
| 6 | All the necessary structural elements of the paper are functional. The structure is determined by the type of the paper. | | | |
| 7 | The introduction is written so to introduce the content of the paper. | | | |
| 8 | The results of the paper are applicable. | | | |
| 9 | The examples used are explained and clear. | | | |
| 10 | The illustrations and images are clear and support the text of the paper. | | | |
| 11 | The conclusion is derived from the content presented in the paper. | | | |
| 12 | The conclusion is clear and convincing. | | | |
| 13 | The paper is in accordance with the current knowledge in the relevant field. | | | |
| 14 | The references used by the author are up-to-date and relevant. | | | |
| 15 | The author refers to the sources in accordance with the standards in citing and forming the list of references. | | | |
| 16 | The paper is properly written (correct spelling, grammar and style). | | | |
| 17 | The paper is concise and clear. | | | |
| 18 | The paper is arranged according to the technical requirements of the conference. | | | |
| 19 | The author has indicated the innovative aspect of their paper. | | | |
| 20 | The paper provides a clear contribution to the conference themes. | | | |

General assessment of the paper

1 2 3 4 5

Recommendation

- Accept the paper entirely with no changes.
- Accept the paper with minor technical changes.
- Accept the paper with minor scientific/expert changes.
- Accept the paper only if substantial changes were made (ask the authors to modify the paper according to the reviewers' suggestions).
- Ask the authors to modify the paper in order to be accepted after an additional review.
- Do not accept the paper / reject the paper unconditionally.

Classification of the paper: Proposal for the classification of the paper within relevant category

- Original scientific paper
- Scientific paper in form of previous report
- Review paper
- Professional paper
- Professional review paper

The reviewer's comments are on the following page.

The reviewer's comments

Self-assessment of the reviewer's competence:

- a. I am an expert in the field researched in the paper
- b. I have a very good knowledge of the field
- c. I have a general knowledge of the field
- d. I have a poor knowledge of the field
- e. I am not familiar with the field (scientific committee elects another reviewer)

Reviewer:

Date:
